

EXHIBIT D

ORIGINAL

1

1.

2

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

3

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

4

Case No. 05-44481

5

-----x

6

In the Matter of:

7

8

DELPHI CORPORATION,

9

10

Debtor.

11

12

-----x

13

14

United States Bankruptcy Court

15

One Bowling Green

16

New York, New York

17

18

March 19, 2008

19

10:09 AM

20

21

B E F O R E:

22

HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN

23

U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

24

25

VERITETEXT/NEW YORK REPORTING COMPANY

212-267-6868

516-608-2400

1 pursued or is that still something they're analyzing in light
2 of the cost of pursuing it versus the net gain of a victory --

3 MR. BUTLER: I think, Your Honor --

4 THE COURT: -- or potential victory?

5 MR. BUTLER: -- those are still under analysis. They
6 were retained because of the unique circumstances that were
7 pled in those particular proceedings. And I don't think a
8 final decision has been made as to whether those would actually
9 be pursued. But obviously, we did make -- we did do enough
10 analysis to decide that as opposed to the other 740 odd actions
11 that these should be retained for that purpose.

12 THE COURT: Did those defendants get notice of the
13 motion?

14 MR. BUTLER: Everyone received notice of the 4(m)
15 motion, I believe. Let me make sure. Is that -- I want to
16 just double check with my folks. It went to the 2002 services,
17 I know for sure. Just give us one second, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I'm almost certain that they
20 would have not gotten individualized notice unless they were on
21 the 2002 list.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MR. BUTLER: And the reason for that is I'm not sure
24 they know about the existence of the pleadings.

25 THE COURT: All right. Well, I debated whether to

1 have you settle the order on those -- that handful of people.
2 I mean, normally, no one wants to have litigation be activated
3 but I think the rationale potentially for them is a little
4 different than the others. So I think I'll -- particularly, if
5 we're not sure whether they got the notice.

6 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I think -- let me just --

7 THE COURT: If they're on the 2002 list, you don't
8 need to settle it. If they weren't -- they weren't served with
9 it, I'd like you to settle it as to the handful of people that
10 the plan at least contemplates would be pursued.

11 MR. BUTLER: Right.

12 THE COURT: With regard to the vast majority, all the
13 others, clearly there's a good basis for not activating that
14 litigation. It would be moot upon consummation of the plan.

15 MR. BUTLER: We'll do that, Your Honor. And the
16 existence of those folks is obviously that that exhibit to the
17 plan is public. So everyone knows what that retention is.
18 So --

19 THE COURT: Right.

20 MR. BUTLER: -- we will deal with that and we will
21 settle the order. If they're not --

22 THE COURT: You can do it five days notice.

23 MR. BUTLER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Okay. If they're not on the 2002 list.

25 MR. BUTLER: Thanks -- thank you, Your Honor. Your